



Tax Briefs



DEDICATED
TO YOUR SUCCESS

News Update on Tax Issues from SLGG

SINGER LEWAK GREENBAUM & GOLDSTEIN LLP
Certified Public Accountants & Management Consultants

In each issue, we cover various tax issues of interest to individuals and businesses

IRS and States – Employee vs. Independent Contractor

While “worker classification” has long been an issue for employers, employees, and the government, the IRS and numerous states have signaled a renewed commitment to improving compliance in this area via the Questionable Employment Tax Program (“QETP”).

Specifically, officials from the IRS and more than two dozen state workforce agencies have announced that they have entered into agreements to share the results of employment tax examinations, as well as other employment tax information. In addition to sharing such information, the program is also designed to offer guidance to employers in what can often be a fairly confusing area.

A key component of the QETP is that if an employer is assessed for taxes due to treating a worker as an independent contractor when they should have treated that person as an employee (i.e., and not withholding tax or paying the employer’s share of the worker’s payroll taxes, thereby shifting much of the tax burden to the worker), that employer can expect additional assessments from the IRS (if not already assessed) and/or other states. For many employers, such assessments (plus interest and penalties) can be substantial, so employers should evaluate their worker classification practices before it’s too late. The Addendum below provides valuable guidance from the IRS itself in that regard.

Knowing the proper worker classification can be critical to your business especially as more information is shared between federal and state agencies. If you have questions about classification please contact us. For additional information regarding the QETP, please see: <http://www.irs.gov/newsroom/article/0,,id=175457,00.html>.

Addendum

In 1987, the IRS issued Revenue ruling 87-41, which set forth a 20-factor test to help in determining whether a worker is an employee or an independent contractor. According to the IRS:

JANUARY 2008



Contact Us

Los Angeles Headquarters

10960 Wilshire Blvd.
Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90024
Tel: (310) 477-3924
Fax: (310) 478-6070

Orange County Office

2050 Main Street
7th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel: (949) 261-8600
Fax: (949) 261-8610

Inland Empire Office

800 North Haven Avenue
Suite 340
Ontario, CA 91764
Tel: (909) 941-9245
Fax: (909) 941-9252

Woodland Hills Office

21550 Oxnard Street
Suite 1000
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
Tel: (818) 999-3924
Fax: (818) 999-9225

San Diego Office

11622 El Camino Real
Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92130
Tel: (858) 496-0400
Fax: (858) 496-0060

Auxiliary Office

1255 Corporate Center Drive
Suite 214
Monterey Park, CA 91754
Tel: (310) 477-3924
Fax: (310) 478-6070

As an aid to determining whether an individual is an employee under the common law rules, twenty factors or elements have been identified as indicating whether sufficient control is present to establish an employer-employee relationship. The twenty factors have been developed based on an examination of cases and rulings considering whether an individual is an employee. The degree of importance of each factor varies depending on the occupation and the factual context in which the services are performed. The twenty factors are designed only as guides for determining whether an individual is an employee; special scrutiny is required in applying the twenty factors to assure that formalistic aspects of an arrangement designed to achieve a particular status do not obscure the substance of the arrangement (that is, whether the person or persons for whom the services are performed exercise sufficient control over the individual for the individual to be classified as an employee). The twenty factors are described below [*internal citations omitted*]:

1. Instructions. A worker who is required to comply with other persons' instructions about when, where, and how he or she is to work is ordinarily an employee. This control factor is present if the person or persons for whom the services are performed have the right to require compliance with instructions.

2. Training. Training a worker by requiring an experienced employee to work with the worker, by corresponding with the worker, by requiring the worker to attend meetings, or by using other methods, indicates that the person or persons for whom the services are performed want the services performed in a particular method or manner.

3. Integration. Integration of the worker's services into the business operations generally shows that the worker is subject to direction and control. When the success or continuation of a business depends to an appreciable degree upon the performance of certain services, the workers who perform those services must necessarily be subject to a certain amount of control by the owner of the business.

4. Services Rendered Personally. If the services must be rendered personally, presumably the person or persons for whom the services are performed are interested in the methods used to accomplish the work as well as in the results.

5. Hiring, Supervising, and Paying Assistants. If the person or persons for whom the services are performed hire, supervise, and pay assistants, that factor generally shows control over the workers on the job. However, if one worker hires, supervises, and pays the other assistants pursuant to a contract under which the worker agrees to provide materials and labor and under which the worker is responsible only for the attainment of a result, this factor indicates an independent contractor status.

6. Continuing Relationship. A continuing relationship between the worker and the person or persons for whom the services are performed indicates that an employer-employee relationship exists. A continuing relationship may exist where work is performed at frequently recurring although irregular intervals.

7. Set Hours of Work. The establishment of set hours of work by the person or persons for whom the services are performed is a factor indicating control.

8. Full Time Required. If the worker must devote substantially full time to the business of the person or persons for whom the services are performed, such person or persons have control over the amount of time the worker spends working and impliedly restrict the worker from doing other gainful work. An independent contractor, on the other hand, is free to work when and for whom he or she chooses.

9. Doing Work on Employer's Premises. If the work is performed on the premises of the person or persons for whom the services are performed, that factor suggests control over the worker, especially if the work could be

done elsewhere. Work done off the premises of the person or persons receiving the services, such as at the office of the worker, indicates some freedom from control. However, this fact by itself does not mean that the worker is not an employee. The importance of this factor depends on the nature of the service involved and the extent to which an employer generally would require that employees perform such services on the employer's premises. Control over the place of work is indicated when the person or persons for whom the services are performed have the right to compel the worker to travel a designated route, to canvass a territory within a certain time, or to work at specific places as required.

10. Order or Sequence Set. If a worker must perform services in the order or sequence set by the person or persons for whom the services are performed, that factor shows that the worker is not free to follow the worker's own pattern of work but must follow the established routines and schedules of the person or persons for whom the services are performed. Often, because of the nature of an occupation, the person or persons for whom the services are performed do not set the order of the services or set the order infrequently. It is sufficient to show control, however, if such person or persons retain the right to do so.

11. Oral or Written Reports. A requirement that the worker submit regular or written reports to the person or persons for whom the services are performed indicates a degree of control.

12. Payment by Hour, Week, Month. Payment by the hour, week, or month generally points to an employer-employee relationship, provided that this method of payment is not just a convenient way of paying a lump sum agreed upon as the cost of a job. Payment made by the job or on a straight commission generally indicates that the worker is an independent contractor.

13. Payment of Business and/or Traveling Expenses. If the person or persons for whom the services are performed ordinarily pay the worker's business and/or traveling expenses, the worker is ordinarily an employee. An employer, to be able to control expenses, generally retains the right to regulate and direct the worker's business activities.

14. Furnishing of Tools and Materials. The fact that the person or persons for whom the services are performed furnish significant tools, materials, and other equipment tends to show the existence of an employer-employee relationship.

15. Significant Investment. If the worker invests in facilities that are used by the worker in performing services and are not typically maintained by employees (such as the maintenance of an office rented at fair value from an unrelated party), that factor tends to indicate that the worker is an independent contractor. On the other hand, lack of investment in facilities indicates dependence on the person or persons for whom the services are performed for such facilities and, accordingly, the existence of an employer-employee relationship. Special scrutiny is required with respect to certain types of facilities, such as home offices.

16. Realization of Profit or Loss. A worker who can realize a profit or suffer a loss as a result of the worker's services (in addition to the profit or loss ordinarily realized by employees) is generally an independent contractor, but the worker who cannot is an employee. For example, if the worker is subject to a real risk of economic loss due to significant investments or a bona fide liability for expenses, such as salary payments to unrelated employees, that factor indicates that the worker is an independent contractor. The risk that a worker will not receive payment for his or her services, however, is common to both independent contractors and employees and thus does not constitute a sufficient economic risk to support treatment as an independent contractor.

17. Working for More Than One Firm at a Time. If a worker performs more than de minimis services for a

multiple of unrelated persons or firms at the same time, that factor generally indicates that the worker is an independent contractor. However, a worker who performs services for more than one person may be an employee of each of the persons, especially where such persons are part of the same service arrangement.

18. Making Service Available to General Public. The fact that a worker makes his or her services available to the general public on a regular and consistent basis indicates an independent contractor relationship.

19. Right to Discharge. The right to discharge a worker is a factor indicating that the worker is an employee and the person possessing the right is an employer. An employer exercises control through the threat of dismissal, which causes the worker to obey the employer's instructions. An independent contractor, on the other hand, cannot be fired so long as the independent contractor produces a result that meets the contract specifications.

20. Right to Terminate. If the worker has the right to end his or her relationship with the person for whom the services are performed at any time he or she wishes without incurring liability, that factor indicates an employer-employee relationship.

Meet your Tax Partners & Managers		Other links of interest
Mark Cook, CPA, Partner	mcook@slgg.com	Internal Revenue Service
Steven J. Cupingood, CPA, Partner	scupingood@slgg.com	California Franchise Tax Board
John A. Eckweiler, CPA, Partner	jeckweiler@slgg.com	California Bureau of Unclaimed Property
Andrew L. Gantman, CPA, Partner	agantman@slgg.com	Securities and Exchange Commission
Donald G. Leve, CPA, Partner	dleve@slgg.com	OANDA Foreign Currency Converter
Richard A. Linder, CPA, Partner	rlinder@slgg.com	U.S. National Archives
Carl Sasaki, CPA, Partner	csasaki@slgg.com	<i>read the full text of the U.S. Constitution and other historical documents</i>
Thomas E. Wendler, CPA, Partner	twendler@slgg.com	Financial Tools
Cecilia Calderilla, CPA	ccalderilla@slgg.com	Tax Resources Online
Brandy Davis, CPA	bdavis@slgg.com	
Penny Perrin, CPA	pperrin@slgg.com	
Edward Sakurai, CPA	esakurai@slgg.com	
Lior Temkin, CPA	ltemkin@slgg.com	
Arnold Twersky, CPA	atwersky@slgg.com	
Isabella Wong, CPA	iwong@slgg.com	

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any matters addressed herein.

Notice: Opinions, conclusions, and other information in this message are not intended to represent recommendations or advice to you or any other person. Each person's circumstances are unique, and we strongly suggest you discuss your specific situation with your professional advisor before taking any action based on the information herein or information to which this message refers.

To unsubscribe, please either click [here](#) or contact marketingla@slgg.com